

G - Polish group

G -flow: $\text{ctHaus } X$ equipped with cont. $G \times X \xrightarrow{\text{action}} X$.

A G -flow X is minimal if the only subflow (non- \emptyset , closed, G -inv subset) of X is X itself.

Zorn: every G -flow contains a min subflow.

- Two tasks:
- 1) Understand minimal flows
 - 2) Understand how min subflows sit inside a given flow

Fact (Ellis 1960): \exists a univ min. flow, a minimal G-flow

which admits a G-map (cont, G-equiv) to every min G-flow

It is unique up to iso, denote by $M(G)$

- For any l.c. Polish grp, $M(G)$ is non-metrizable (K.P.T. 05), and the action is free (Veech).
- Other extreme: extremely amenable groups are groups with $M(G) = \{\cdot\}$.

Equiv., every G -flow contains a fixed pt.

Ex: Unitary grp (Gromov-Milman 84), $\text{Aut}(X, \mu)$ (Giordano-Pestov 02),
 $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ (Pestov 98)

- \exists Polish groups G with $M(G)$ non-triv., but metrizable.

Ex: $G = \text{compact Polish grp}, M(G) \cong G$

$G = \text{Homeo}^+(\mathbb{T})$, \sim See chalk

- \exists Polish groups with $M(G)$ containing a comeager orbit, but with $M(G)$ non-metr.

Thm: (\mathbb{Z} for G non-Arch, BYMT 2017)

$M(G)$ metr. $\implies M(G)$ has comeager orbit.

Ex: various homeo grps related to dendrites.

Q: how can min subflows look like? \times a G-flow.

$V(X)$ - the Vietoris space of compact subsets of X

Basic open nbd: $\left\{ Y \in V(X) : Y \subseteq A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_k, \text{ and } \forall i < k \quad Y \cap A_i \neq \emptyset \right\}$
 $A_i \subseteq X$
 A_i are open.

$Sub_G(X) \subseteq V(X)$ the closed subspace of G-subflows.

$Min_G(X) \subseteq Sub_G(X)$ the minimal subflows.

Ex: G ctbl. discrete. $G \cap 2^G$ vla $(g \cdot x)(h) = x(hg)$.

Can show $2^G \in \overline{\text{Min}_G(X)}$. Similar construction for G l.c.

Ex: If G ext. am. and X a G -flow, then

$\text{Min}_G(X) =$ set of fixed pts.

What about $M(G)$ metr., $M(G)$ has comeager orb?

Thm (Jahel-Z. 2019): For G Polish grp TFAE:

- 1) $M(G)$ metric
- 2) For any G -flow X , $M_{\text{in}_G}(X)$ is \check{V} -closed.

Towards (1) \Rightarrow (2), fix X and $(Y_i)_{i \in I}$ from $M_{\text{in}_G}(X)$.

WMA $Y_i \rightarrow Y \in \text{Sub}_G(X)$. $M \cong M(G)$

Fix $\varphi_i: M \rightarrow Y_i$, view as $\varphi: \underbrace{I \times M}_{\text{G-space}} \rightarrow X$

Fact (?): For Z a l.c. G -space, \exists a max'l G -equiv. compactification.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_G(Z) & & \varphi : I \times M \rightarrow X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{\varphi} & \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\psi} & W \text{ a } G\text{-flow} \end{array}$$

On $\alpha_G(I \times M)$, we have maps:

$$\tilde{\varphi} : \alpha_G(I \times M) \rightarrow X \quad \boxed{\pi_I : \alpha_G(I \times M) \rightarrow \beta I} \quad \pi_M : \alpha_G(I \times M) \rightarrow M.$$

If $U \in \beta I$, write $M_U = \pi_I^{-1}(\{U\})$. If U contains tails of I , $\tilde{\varphi}[M_U] = Y$

Idea: We should regard M_U as an ultrapower of M .

Thm (Bassso-Z.) TFAE:

- 1) $M(G)$ has com. orb.
- 2) For any G -flow X , $(Y_i)_{i \in I}$ from $M_{\text{inf}}(X)$, then if
 $Y_i \rightarrow Y \in \text{Sub}_G(X)$, then Y contains a unique min. subflow.